

ON THE 2-REALIZABILITY OF 2-TYPES

BY

MICHEAL N. DYER⁽¹⁾

This paper is respectfully dedicated to the memory of Professor Andrew Moursund

ABSTRACT. A 2-type is a triple (π, π_2, k) , where π is a group, π_2 a π -module and $k \in H^3(\pi, \pi_2)$. The following question is studied: When is a 2-type (π, π_2, k) realizable by 2-dimensional CW-complex X such that the 2-type $(\pi_1 X, \pi_2 X, k(X))$ is equivalent to (π, π_2, k) ? A long list of necessary conditions is given (2.2). One necessary and sufficient condition (3.1) is proved, provided π has the property that stably free, finitely generated π -modules are free. "Stable" 2-realizability is characterized (4.1) in terms of the Wall invariant of [15]. Finally, techniques of [5] are used to extend C. T. C. Wall's Theorem F of [15] to a space X which is dominated by a finite CW-complex of dimension 2, provided $\pi_1 X$ is finite cyclic. Under these conditions X has the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex if and only if the Wall invariant vanishes.

1. **Introduction.** In [9], S. Mac Lane and J. H. C. Whitehead introduced the notion of the 2-type of a connected CW-complex X . This is the triple $T(X) = (\pi_1 X, \pi_2 X, k(X))$ consisting of the fundamental group of X , the $\pi_1 X$ -module $\pi_2 X$ and the obstruction invariant

$$k[X] \in H^3(\pi_1 X, \pi_2 X)$$

of [8]. An abstract 2-type is a triple (π, π_2, k) consisting of a group π , a π -module π_2 , and an element $k \in H^3(\pi, \pi_2)$. Two 2-types $T = (\pi, \pi_2, k)$, $T' = (\pi, \pi'_2, k')$ with the same fundamental group π are *equivalent* ($T \cong T'$) if there are isomorphisms

$$f: \pi \rightarrow \pi, \quad f': \pi_2 \rightarrow \pi'_2$$

where $f'(xa) = f(x) \cdot f'(a)$ ($x \in \pi, a \in \pi_2$) and $f'_*(k) = f^*(k')$ in

$$f'_*: H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \rightarrow H^3(\pi, (\pi'_2)_f) \leftarrow H^3(\pi, \pi'_2): f^*.$$

Let $A(\pi)$ be the set of equivalence classes of 2-types (π, π_2, k) with the same group π ; $[T]$ is the equivalence class of 2-types containing T .

We say that connected CW-complexes X, Y have the same (topological) 2-type if and only if there exist maps $f: X^{(3)} \rightarrow Y^{(3)}$, $g: Y^{(3)} \rightarrow X^{(3)}$ such

Received by the editors January 19, 1973 and, in revised form, January 21, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 55D15, 20F05, 20C05, 16A54.

⁽¹⁾ The author was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-34087.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

that $gf|_{X(2)} \simeq i: X^{(2)} \rightarrow X^{(3)}$, $fg|_{Y(2)} \simeq i: Y^{(2)} \rightarrow Y^{(3)}$. Theorem 1 of [9] shows that X, Y have the same topological 2-type $\Leftrightarrow T(X) \cong T(Y)$. We will call f, g above 2-equivalences; g will be called the 2-inverse of f , etc.

It is also known from [9, Theorem 2] that every abstract 2-type $T = (\pi, \pi_2, k)$ can be realized by a connected 3-complex X such that $T \cong T(X)$. Let $FA(\pi)$ be the subset of $A(\pi)$ consisting of those 2-types which can be realized by a finite connected 3-complex. For any $T \in (F)A(\pi)$, let $(F)X^3(T)$ be the set of (finite) CW-complexes of dimension ≤ 3 such that $T(X) \cong T$. It follows from Theorem 1 of [9] that any 2-complexes X, Y have the same homotopy type $\Leftrightarrow [T(X)] = [T(Y)] \in A(\pi_1 X)$. We say that an element $T = [(\pi, \pi_2, k)] \in (F)A(\pi)$ is (finitely) 2-realizable if there is a (finite) connected 2-dimensional CW-complex X such that $T(X) \in T$. Let

$$(F)R(\pi) = \{T \in A(\pi) | T \text{ is (finitely) 2-realizable}\}.$$

Thus $(F)R(\pi)$ is the set of homotopy types of (finite) connected 2-complexes with fundamental group π .

In this paper we will study the following problem: *For any $T \in (F)A(\pi)$ give necessary and sufficient conditions that T be a member of $(F)R(\pi)$.*

For example, if $\pi = Z_n$, the cyclic group of order n generated by x , then $T \in FR(Z_n) \Leftrightarrow \pi_2 = (x-1)Z[Z_n] \oplus (Z[Z_n])^m$ and $k \in H^3(Z_n, \pi_2) \cong Z_n$ is a generator. See [5, I] and [4]. If $\pi = F^n$, the free group of rank n , then a result of H. Bass [1] and C. T. C. Wall [15, I] shows that $T \in FR(F^n) \Leftrightarrow \pi_2 \cong (Z[F^n])^m$ and $k = 0$.

We will give a long list of necessary conditions that T be 2-realizable (Theorem 2.2) and one necessary and sufficient condition, provided π is suitably restricted (Theorem 3.1). In general, we are able to give sufficient conditions only to the "stable" 2-realizability of a 2-type T (Theorem 4.1). Finally, in §5, we study *chain* 2-realizability.

The problem of 2-realizability is clearly connected to the difficulty C. T. C. Wall experienced in deciding whether or not a CW-complex X dominated by a finite 2-complex had the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex. For if X is dominated by a finite 2-complex and the obstruction in the projective class group $\tilde{K}^0(\pi_1 X)$ vanishes, then X has the homotopy type of a *finite 3-complex* Y [15, I, Theorem F]. Let \tilde{Y} denote the universal cover of Y , $p: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ the covering map.

THEOREM 1.1. *A connected 3-complex Y has the homotopy type of a (finite) connected 2-complex $\Leftrightarrow T(Y) \in (F)R(\pi, Y)$ and $H_3(\tilde{Y}) = 0$.*

PROOF. The necessity is obvious. If $T(Y)$ is (finitely) 2-realizable, then

there is a (finite) 2-complex Z and a 2-equivalence $f: Z \rightarrow Y$. By part N(h) of Theorem 2.2 there is an isomorphism

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\#} \\ hp_{\#}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_3(Z) & \xrightarrow{f_{\#}} & \pi_3(Y) \\ & \searrow (id_0) & \downarrow I \approx \\ & & \pi_3(Z) \oplus H_3(\tilde{Y}) \end{array}$$

where h is the Hurewicz homomorphism and g is a 2-inverse to f .

Since $H_3(\tilde{Y}) = 0$, $f_{\#}: \pi_3(Z) \rightarrow \pi_3(Y)$ is an isomorphism $\Rightarrow f$ is a 3-equivalence $\Rightarrow f$ is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem [16, I].

COROLLARY 1.2. *X is dominated by a finite 2-complex, the obstruction in $\tilde{K}^{\infty}(\pi_1 X)$ is zero, and $T(X) \in \text{FR}(\pi_1 X) \iff X$ has the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex.*

In §5, Corollary 5.3, we extend C. T. C. Wall's Theorem F [15, I] to the following: *Let X be a connected CW-complex dominated by a finite 2-complex and let $\pi_1 X \cong Z_n$. Then X has the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex $\iff \text{Wa}_2[X] = 0$.*

Here $\text{Wa}_2[X] =$ class of the π -module $C_2(\tilde{X})/B_2(\tilde{X})$ in the projective class group $\tilde{K}^{\infty}(\pi_1 X)$, where \tilde{X} is the universal cover of X , $C(\tilde{X})$, the cellular chain complex of \tilde{X} , and $B_2(\tilde{X}) = \text{im}\{\partial_3: C_3(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C_2(\tilde{X})\}$. X satisfies D_2 [15, I, p. 61] $\Rightarrow H_2(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^{(1)}) \cong C_2(\tilde{X})/B_2(\tilde{X})$ is projective.

2. Necessary conditions that $T \in \text{FR}(\pi)$. For any $T \in \mathbf{A}(\pi)$ we define the homotopy modules of T , $\pi_i(T)$, as

$$\pi_i(T) = \text{im}\{\pi_i(X^{(2)}) \rightarrow \pi_i(X)\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where X is any connected CW-complex of dimension ≤ 3 having the 2-type $T(X) \in T$. This definition makes sense because if X, Y are any CW-complexes of dimension ≤ 3 having 2-type T , then there exist 2-inverses

$$f: X \rightleftarrows Y: g.$$

An easy argument on the homotopy ladder of the pairs $(X, X^{(2)})$, $(Y, Y^{(2)})$ shows that $f_{\#}|_{\pi_i(T)}: \pi_i(T) \rightarrow \text{im}\{\pi_i(Y^{(2)}) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y)\}$ is an isomorphism.

Note. If $T \in \mathbf{R}(\pi)$, $\pi_*(T) \cong \pi_*(Y)$ for any 2-complex Y such that $T(Y) \in T$.

LEMMA 2.1. For any connected 3-complex X , the following is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_i(T(X)) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \pi_i X \xrightarrow{\psi_i} L_i(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where $L_i(X) = \ker \{\partial: \pi_i(X, X^{(2)}) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(X^{(2)})\}$. $L_3(X) \cong H_3(\tilde{X})$, where \tilde{X} is the universal cover of X . Furthermore, under this isomorphism, $\psi_3 = h \circ p_{\#}^{-1}$, where h is the Hurewicz homomorphism and $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the covering projection.

PROOF. The only interesting portion is $i = 3$. We will show that $L_3(X) \cong H_3(\tilde{X})$ and that $\psi_3 = h \circ p_{\#}^{-1}$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \pi_3(X^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(X) & \xrightarrow{i_{\#}} & \pi_3(X, X^{(2)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_2(X^{(2)}) \longrightarrow \pi_2(X) \\
 \uparrow \approx & & \uparrow \approx & & \uparrow \approx & & \uparrow \approx \\
 \pi_3(\tilde{X}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{i_{\#}} & \pi_3(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^{(2)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & \pi_2(\tilde{X}^{(2)}) \longrightarrow \pi_2(\tilde{X}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} \approx & & \downarrow \approx \\
 H_3(\tilde{X}^{(2)}) & \longrightarrow & H^3(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_3(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^{(2)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial''} & H_2(\tilde{X}^{(2)}) \longrightarrow H_2(\tilde{X}) \\
 \parallel & & & & \parallel & \searrow \bar{\partial} & \downarrow j_* \\
 0 & & & & C_3(\tilde{X}) & & H_2(\tilde{X}^{(2)}, \tilde{X}^{(1)}) \\
 & & & & & & \parallel \\
 & & & & & & C_2(\tilde{X})
 \end{array}$$

In the top ladder all vertical arrows are isomorphisms; in the bottom ladder $\bar{h}, \bar{\bar{h}}$ are isomorphisms by the Hurewicz theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_3(\tilde{X}) &= \ker \{\bar{\partial}: C_3(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C_2(\tilde{X})\} \\
 &= \ker \{\partial'': H_3(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^{(2)}) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{X}^{(2)})\} \\
 &\cong \ker \{\partial: \pi_3(X, X^{(2)}) \rightarrow \pi_2(X^{(2)})\} \quad \text{via } \bar{h} \circ \bar{p}_{\#}^{-1} \\
 &= L_3(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the commutativity of the diagram that $\psi_3 = h \circ p_{\#}^{-1}$, if we identify $L_3(X)$ with $H_3(\tilde{X})$. \square

We denote the projective class group of the integral group ring $Z[\pi]$ by $\tilde{K}^{\circ}(\pi)$; $[P]$ means the class in $\tilde{K}^{\circ}(\pi)$ represented by the finitely generated projective π -module P . A finitely generated projective π -module P is *stably free* if and only if $[P] = 0$ in $\tilde{K}^{\circ}(\pi)$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let π be any finitely presentable group and $(\pi, \pi_2, k) \in T \in \text{FR}(\pi)$. Then the following are true.*

N(a): π_2 is a submodule of a free finitely generated π -module (hence π_2 is a free abelian group). If $Z[\pi]$ is Noetherian, then π_2 is a finitely generated π -module.

N(b): If π is a finite group of order $|\pi|$, Z -rank $\pi_2 \equiv -1$ (modulo $|\pi|$) and k is a generator of the finite cyclic group $H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \cong Z_{|\pi|}$.

N(c): If π is a group which has some element of finite order, then π_2 cannot be a projective π -module.

N(d): If $[(\pi, 0, 0)] \in \text{FR}(\pi)$, then $[\pi_2] = 0$ in $\tilde{K}^\infty(\pi)$. Furthermore, $H^3(\pi, \pi_2) = 0 \Rightarrow$ there is only a single 2-type for each pair (π, π_2) .

N(e): If $(\pi, \pi'_2, k') \in T^1 \in \text{FR}(\pi)$ is any other finitely 2-realizable 2-type, then $\pi_2 \oplus F \cong \pi'_2 \oplus F'$ for certain free finitely generated π -modules F, F' .

N(f): For any $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, the Wall invariant $\text{Wa}_2[X] = [C_2(\tilde{X})/B_2(\tilde{X})] = 0 \in \tilde{K}^\infty(\pi)$.

N(g): For any $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, $[H_3(\tilde{X})] = 0$.

N(h): For any $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$,

$$\pi_3(T) \oplus H_3(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{(i)} \pi_3(X)$$

where i is the inclusion, $s: H_3(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \pi_3(X)$ is any π -module homomorphism such that $\psi_3 \circ s = 1$. Furthermore, if Y is any 2-complex in $\text{FX}^3(T)$ and $f: X \rightleftharpoons Y: g$ are 2-inverses, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_3(Y) & \xrightarrow{f\#} & \pi_3(X) \\ & \searrow (\text{id}_0) & \downarrow \cong \begin{pmatrix} g\# \\ hp\# \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & & \pi_3(Y) \oplus H_3(\tilde{X}) \end{array}$$

N(i): If π is any infinite group such that $Z[\pi]$ is weakly injective as a π -module, then $H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \cong Z$ and k is a generator provided $\pi_2 \neq 0$. In this case each pair (π, π_2) determines a single equivalence class in $A(\pi)$.

Note 1. In **N(i)**, no such group can have finite cohomological dimension. (See [18].)

Note 2. For completeness, let me add two more necessary conditions that a 2-realizable two-type must satisfy. Their proofs will appear elsewhere.

DEFINITION. A π -module M has the *cancellation property* (CP) \iff

any isomorphism $M \oplus (Z\pi)^i \cong M' \oplus (Z\pi)^j$ ($i \geq j$) implies that $M' \cong M \oplus (Z\pi)^{i-j}$.

N(j) (R. Swan): $\pi_2 \oplus Z\pi$ has CP. (See [19].)

N(k) ([18]): π_2 satisfies $H_i(\pi; \pi_2) \cong H_{i+3}(\pi)$ ($i > 0$). If π is finite, then $H^{i+3}(\pi; \pi_2) \cong H^i(\pi)$ ($i > 0$).

PROOF. For the duration of this proof let Y be a finite 2-complex in $FX^3(T)$.

(a) $\pi_2(Y)$ is a submodule of $C_2(\tilde{Y})$, the second cellular chain module of the universal cover \tilde{Y} , which is a free π -module with rank the number of 2-cells in Y .

It is known that $Z[\pi]$ is Noetherian if π is a finite extension of a polycyclic group [6]. This is true if π is finite or finitely generated abelian. An example of a finite 2-complex K with $\pi_2(K)$ a nonfinitely generated $Z[\pi]$ -module is given by J. Stallings in [13].

(b) If π is finite, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\tilde{Y}) &= |\pi| \cdot \chi(Y) \Rightarrow Z\text{-rank } \pi_2 + 1 = |\pi|(\text{rank } H_2(Y) + 1) \\ &\Rightarrow Z\text{-rank } \pi_2 = |\pi|(\text{rank } H_2(Y) + 1) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

That k is a generator in $H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \cong Z_{|\pi|}$ follows from the same argument as W. Cockcroft and R. Swan in [4] and uses the fact that for π finite, $Z[\pi]$ is weakly injective [3, p. 199].

(c) If π_2 were projective, then the chain complex $0 \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow C_2(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow C_1(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow C_0(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ gives a projective resolution of the trivial π -module Z of length 3, which is impossible according to a classical theorem of P. A. Smith [12, p. 287], provided π has an element of finite order.

(d) Let $Z \in FX^3((\pi, 0, 0))$ be a 2-complex. Then by a theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead [17] there exist integers m, n such that

$$\begin{aligned}Z \vee (\bigvee^m S^2) &\simeq_s Y \vee (\bigvee^n S^2) \Rightarrow \pi_2(Z \vee (\bigvee^m S^2)) \cong (Z[\pi])^m \\ &\cong \pi_2(Y) \oplus (Z[\pi])^n \Rightarrow \pi_2(Y)\end{aligned}$$

is stably free. Furthermore, $C(\tilde{Z})$ is a projective resolution of Z of length $2 \Rightarrow H^3(\pi, \pi_2) = 0$.

(e) follows from the above theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead.

(f) $X \in FX^3(T)$. Again the theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead implies

$$(2.3) \quad X \vee (\bigvee^m S^3) \simeq_s Y \vee (\bigvee^n S^3)$$

for some integers m, n . We attach n 4-cells to both sides of (2.3) to kill the $\bigvee^n S^3$, obtaining

$$W = (X \vee (\bigvee^m S^3)) \cup e_1^4 \cup \dots \cup e_n^4 \simeq Y.$$

Thus W satisfies D2 of [15, I, p. 67]. The proof of Lemma 2.1 of [15, I] implies $\text{Wa}_2[W] = 0$. But $C_2(\tilde{W}) = C_2(\tilde{X})$, $B_2(\tilde{W}) = B_2(\tilde{X}) \Rightarrow \text{Wa}_2[X] = 0$ as well.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(g) } C_2(\tilde{X})/B_2(\tilde{X}) \text{ is stably free} &\Rightarrow C_2(\tilde{X}) \cong B_2(\tilde{X}) \oplus C_2(\tilde{X})/B_2(\tilde{X}) \\ &\Rightarrow B_2(\tilde{X}) \text{ stably free} \\ &\Rightarrow C_3(\tilde{X}) \cong B_2(\tilde{X}) \oplus H_3(\tilde{X}) \\ &\Rightarrow H_3(\tilde{X}) \text{ stably free.} \end{aligned}$$

(h) If $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, then there are 2-inverses $f: Y \rightleftarrows X: g$ such that $gf \cong 1: Y \rightarrow Y$, $fg|_{X^{(2)}} \cong i: X^{(2)} \rightarrow X$. By (2.1)

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_3(T) \rightarrow \pi_3(X) \xrightarrow{h \circ p_{\#}^{-1}} H_3(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact; by (g) $H_3(\tilde{X})$ is projective. Thus

$$\pi_3(T) \oplus H_3(X) \xrightarrow[\cong]{(i_s)} \pi_3(X)$$

where s is any π -splitting such that $(h \circ p_{\#}^{-1})s = 1$. It is not difficult to see that the above maps f, g induce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_3(X) &\cong \text{im } f_{\#} \oplus \ker g_{\#} \\ &\cong \pi_3(Y) \oplus \ker g_{\#} \\ &\cong \pi_3(T) \oplus H(\tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

(i) An easy computation similar to [4] gives $H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \cong Z$ and k a generator, provided π is infinite and $Z[\pi]$ is weakly injective as a π -module. $(\pi, \pi_2, k) \cong (\pi, \pi_2, -k)$ via $\text{id}: \pi \rightarrow \pi$ and $\lambda: \pi_2 \rightarrow \pi_2$, where $\lambda(x) = -x$ for each $x \in \pi_2$.

3. Fundamental groups possessing $(SF \Rightarrow F)$. We say that a group π has $(SF \Rightarrow F)$ provided any finitely generated projective π -module P such that $[P] = 0 \in \tilde{K}^{\circ}(\pi)$ is free. It is known by a theorem of H. Jacobinski (see [7], [11, Theorem 19.8] or [14, p. 178]) that if π is a finite group which has no quotient group isomorphic to a generalized quaternion group or any one of three exceptional groups (the binary tetrahedral, octahedral, or icosahedral groups) then π has $(SF \Rightarrow F)$. Thus any finite group which is abelian, simple, or of odd order has $(SF \Rightarrow F)$. Also if π is free of finite rank, then π has $(SF \Rightarrow F)$ [1].

THEOREM 3.1. *Let π have $(SF \Rightarrow F)$. $T \in \text{FR}(\pi) \iff$ for any $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, X has the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex wedged with a finite number of 3-spheres.*

PROOF. If $X \in \mathbf{FX}^3(T) \Rightarrow X \simeq Y \vee (\bigvee^k S^3)$, where Y is a finite 2-complex, then clearly $T \in \mathbf{FR}(\pi)$. If $T \in \mathbf{FR}(\pi)$, then there exists a finite 2-complex $Y \in \mathbf{FX}^3(T)$. Then there are 2-inverses $f: Y \rightleftarrows X: g$ inducing a 2-equivalence. By parts (g) and (h) of Theorem 2.2

$$\pi_3(X) \xrightarrow[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g_{\#} \\ hp_{\#}^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)]{\cong} \pi_3(Y) \oplus H_3(\tilde{X})$$

and $[H_3(\tilde{X})] = 0 \Rightarrow H_3(\tilde{X})$ is a free π -module of finite rank k on generators $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. Then $Y \vee (\bigvee^k S_i^3) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} X$ is a homotopy equivalence, where $\tilde{f}|_Y = f$ and the homotopy class of $\tilde{f}|_{S_i^3}: S_i^3 \rightarrow X$ is $\alpha_i \in \pi_3(X)$. \square

Two corollaries follow easily from 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.2 (CANCELLATION). *Let X, Y be finite 3-complexes such that $X \vee (\bigvee^n S_i^3) \simeq Y \vee (\bigvee^m S_i^3)$ where $m \geq n$. Assume that $\pi_1 X$ has $(SF \Rightarrow F)$ and that $T(X) \in \mathbf{FR}(\pi_1 X)$. Then $X \simeq Y \vee (\bigvee^{m-n} S_i^3)$.* \square

Let $\mathbf{HFX}^3(T)$ be the set of homotopy classes of connected, finite 3-complexes with 2-types in T and $[*]$ be the homotopy class of $*$.

COROLLARY 3.3 (HOMOTOPY CLASSIFICATION). *Let $T \in \mathbf{FR}(\pi)$ and π have $(SF \Rightarrow F)$. If W is any finite 2-complex having 2-type T , then $\mathbf{HFX}^3(T) = \{[W \vee (\bigvee^n S^3)] \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.* \square

These same theorems are true for $(n+1)$ -dimensional finite connected CW-complexes whose n -types $T(n)$ are n -realizable ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), provided π_1 has $(SF \Rightarrow F)$. The case $n = 1$ is due to H. Bass and C. T. C. Wall [15, I, Theorem 3.3].

4. Stably 2-realizable 2-types. We say that a 2-type

$$T = [(\pi, \pi_2, k)] \in \mathbf{FA}(\pi)$$

is stably 2-realizable if there is a free π -module F^n of rank n such that the 2-type $T \oplus F^n = [(\pi, \pi_2 \oplus F^n, \bar{k})]$ is finitely 2-realizable. Here \bar{k} is the image of k under the homomorphism

$$H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \xrightarrow{i_*} H^3(\pi, \pi_2 \oplus F^n) \cong H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \oplus H^3(\pi, F^n)$$

induced by the inclusion $\pi_2 \rightarrow \pi_2 \oplus F^n$.

Note. If $Z[\pi]$ is a weakly injective, then i_* is an isomorphism.

THEOREM 4.1. $T \in \mathbf{FA}(\pi)$ is stably 2-realizable \iff for any $X \in \mathbf{FX}^3(T)$ the Wall invariant $\text{Wa}_2[X] = 0$ in $\tilde{K}^\infty(\pi)$.

PROOF. Suppose there is an integer n and a finite 2-complex Y such

that $T(Y) \in T \oplus F^n$. Let $X \in FX^3(T)$; then $Z = X \vee (\bigvee^n S^2) \in FX^3(T \oplus F^n)$. By the theorem of Whitehead [17] there are integers s, t such that

$$Y \vee (\bigvee^s S^3) \simeq Z \vee (\bigvee^t S^3) = X \vee (\bigvee^n S^2) \vee (\bigvee^t S^3).$$

We adjoin s 4-cells to both sides of the above equation to kill $\bigvee^s S^3$. This gives $Y \simeq (X \vee (\bigvee^n S^2) \vee (\bigvee^t S^3)) \cup e_1^4 \cup \dots \cup e_s^4 = W$. W is a finite 4-complex satisfying $D2 \Rightarrow Wa_2[W] = 0$ [13, I, Theorem F]. But

$$C_2(\tilde{W}) = C_2(\tilde{X}) \oplus F^n,$$

$$B_2(\tilde{W}) = B_2(\tilde{X}) \Rightarrow Wa_2[W] = Wa_2[X] \oplus [F^n] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Wa_2[X] = 0.$$

Let $X \in FX^3(T)$ be such that $Wa_2[X] = 0$. Assume that the zero skeleton $X^{(0)}$ of X is a single point. Choose an integer n such that $C_2(\tilde{X})/B_2(\tilde{X}) \oplus F^n$ is free. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\bar{x}_1 - 1, \bar{x}_2 - 1, \dots, \bar{x}_m - 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_n) & & & & \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_2(X) \rightarrow C_2(\tilde{X})/B_2(\tilde{X}) \oplus F^n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_2} & C_1(\tilde{X}) \oplus F^n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_1 = \partial_1 + 0} & Z[\pi] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & Z \rightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ & \langle y_1, \dots, y_k \rangle & \langle x_1, \dots, x_m, z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle & & \tilde{C}_0 & & \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & & & \\ & \tilde{C}_2 & \tilde{C}_1 & & & & \end{array}$$

is an exact chain complex such that \tilde{C}_0, \tilde{C}_1 , and \tilde{C}_2 are free π -modules with the indicated bases and the set $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ forms a set of generators for the group $\pi = \pi_1 X$ (see [15, II, p. 136]). The element $k(X) \in H^3(\pi_1 X, \pi_2 X)$ is the cohomology class of the π -homomorphism k in the diagram as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_3} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_2} & B_1 & & \\ \downarrow k & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha_1 & \searrow \bar{\partial}_1 & \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_2(X) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_2} & \tilde{C}_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_1} & Z[\pi] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & Z \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $B_3 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow Z\pi \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is a portion of the bar construction, α_1, α_2 are chain maps, and $k = \alpha_2 \circ \bar{\partial}_3$.

We may assume that this set of generators $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$ is the set of generators for some standard (preassigned) presentation $P = \{a_1, \dots, a_m : r_1, \dots, r_l\}$ of π . Let

$$\begin{array}{c}
 1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F(a_1, \dots, a_m) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \pi \rightarrow 1 \\
 \parallel \\
 \langle r_1, \dots, r_l \rangle
 \end{array}$$

be the exact sequence of groups where $\varphi(a_i) = \bar{x}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), $F(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is the free group of rank m freely generated by $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, and R is the smallest normal subgroup generated by $\{r_1, \dots, r_l\}$. This is done by letting Y be the 2-complex determined by the presentation P ; i.e., $Y = (\bigvee^m S_i^1) \cup_{r_1} e_1^2 \cup_{r_2} e_2^2 \cup_{r_3} \dots \cup_{r_l} e_l^2$, and choosing a map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ inducing an isomorphism on $\pi = \pi_1 Y$. Then we use Lemma 1.1 of [15, I] to add 2-cells and 3-cells to Y to create a homotopy equivalence.

In order to realize $(\pi, \pi_2 \oplus F^s, \bar{k})$ for some $s \geq 0$, we use the homomorphism ρ described in [5, II]. Consider the expanded presentation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 1 \rightarrow \langle r_1, \dots, r_l, b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle \rightarrow F(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \pi \rightarrow 1 \\
 \parallel \\
 R'
 \end{array}$$

given by $P' = \{a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n : r_1, \dots, r_l, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. There is a *surjective* group homomorphism

$$\rho: R' \rightarrow \ker \tilde{\partial}_1 = \ker \partial_1 \oplus F^n$$

(see 4.2) which has kernel $[R', R']$, the commutator subgroup of R' . See [5, II] and [16, II]. Briefly, ρ is defined as follows: define the free *crossed homomorphism*

$$\bar{\rho}: F(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_1(x_1, \dots, x_m, z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

by

$$(a) \quad \bar{\rho}(a_i) = x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m), \quad \bar{\rho}(b_j) = z_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$(b) \quad \bar{\rho}(a_i^{-1}) = -\bar{x}_i^{-1} x_i, \quad \bar{\rho}(b_j^{-1}) = -z_j.$$

$$(c) \quad \text{If } W_1, W_2 \in F, \text{ then } \bar{\rho}(W_1 W_2) = \bar{\rho}(W_1) + \varphi(W_1) \cdot \bar{\rho}(W_2).$$

We define $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho}|_{R'}$. By (c), ρ is a homomorphism. For each $\tilde{\partial}_2 y_i \in \ker \tilde{\partial}_1$, we choose $\bar{r}_i \in R'$ such that $\rho(\bar{r}_i) = \tilde{\partial}_2 y_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

Express each $\rho(r_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) as a π -linear combination of $\{\rho(\bar{r}_j) \mid j = 1, \dots, k\}$ ($\{\partial_2 y_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ generates $\ker \tilde{\partial}_1$)

$$\rho(r_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{ij} \rho(\bar{r}_j) \quad (\alpha_{ij} \in Z[\pi], j = 1, \dots, k).$$

Using the definition of ρ , it is easy to see that one can choose words $W_i(\{\bar{r}_j\})$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) in conjugates of the $\{\bar{r}_j\}$ so that

$$\rho(W_i(\{\bar{r}_j\})) = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \rho(\bar{r}_j) = \rho(r_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, l).$$

Therefore, there exists $\kappa_i \in \ker \rho$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) such that

$$W_i \kappa_i = r_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, l).$$

Thus $Q = \{a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n : \bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_k, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_l\}$ is a presentation of π and the 2-complex X_Q modeled on the presentation Q has 2-type $(\pi, \pi_2 \oplus F^l, \bar{k})$.

We note from the proof that if $l_\pi = \min\{\# \text{ of relators in } P | P \text{ is a finite presentation of } \pi\}$ then $T \oplus F^{l_\pi} = [(\pi, \pi_2 \oplus F^{l_\pi}, \bar{k})]$ is finitely 2-realizable \iff for each $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, $\text{Wa}_2[X] = 0$. This remark gives rise to a rather amusing corollary.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let F^n be the free group of rank n . F^n has $(SF \Rightarrow F) \iff$ the tree $\text{HT}(F^n)$ of homotopy types of connected, finite 2-complexes with fundamental group F^n is a single stalk generated by $\bigvee_{i=1}^n S_i^1$.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) This is the standard proof given in [15, I].

(\Leftarrow) Suppose M is a stably free, finitely generated F^n -module. There exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $M \oplus (Z[F^n])^k$ is free. Thus the two-type $(F^n, M, 0)$ is stably 2-realizable \Rightarrow (since $l_{F^n} = 0$) (F^n, M) is 2-realizable $\Rightarrow M$ is free. \square

5. Chain 2-realizable 2-types. We say that $T = [(\pi, \pi_2, k)]$ is *finitely chain 2-realizable* \iff there is an *exact* chain complex of π -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C: 0 \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow C_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & C_0 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & Z \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \\ & & Z[\pi] & & \end{array}$$

where C_1, C_2 are free finitely generated π -modules, ϵ is the augmentation, and C_1 has a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $\partial_1 x_i = g_i - 1$, where $g_i \in \pi$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). k is the cohomology class of the π -module homomorphism k' in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_3} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_2} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_1} & \\ \downarrow k' & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha_1 & \searrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_2 & \rightarrow & C_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & Z[\pi] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Z \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the top rung is part of the bar construction, α_1, α_2 are chain maps, and $k' = \alpha_2 \circ \bar{\partial}_3$. Let $\text{FCR}(\pi) = \{T \in \text{FA}(\pi) | T \text{ is finitely chain 2-realizable}\}$. Always $\text{FR}(\pi) \subset \text{FCR}(\pi)$. $\text{FR}(\pi) = \text{FCR}(\pi)$ would imply the truth of a conjecture of C. T. C. Wall for the group π [15, II, p. 131].

THEOREM 5.1. Let Z_n be the finite cyclic group of order n . Let $x \in Z_n$ be a generator. The following are equivalent:

- (a) $T \in \text{FR}(Z_n)$,
- (b) for every $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, $X \simeq (2\text{-complex}) \vee (\bigvee^k S^3)$,
- (c) $T \in \text{FCR}(Z_n)$,
- (d) $\pi_2 = (x-1)Z[Z_n] \oplus (Z[Z_n])^m$ and k is a generator of $H^3(Z_n, \pi_2) \cong Z_n$,
- (e) for each $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, $\text{Wa}_2[X] = 0$.

PROOF. (a) \iff (b) is (3.1) for $\pi = Z_n$. (a) \iff (d) is given in [4] and [5, I]. (a) \Rightarrow (e) is (4.1). (e) \Rightarrow (c) is clear. We show (c) \Rightarrow (a). Let T be represented by the chain complex

$$\begin{array}{c} (x^{h_1} - 1, x^{h_2} - 1, \dots, x^{h_l} - 1) \\ \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow C_2(y_1, \dots, y_m) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(x_1, \dots, x_l) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} Z[Z_n] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Z \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where x generates Z_n , $\{h_1, \dots, h_l\}$ are integers such that there are integers $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ where $\sum \alpha_i h_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ (i.e., $\{x^{h_1}, \dots, x^{h_l}\}$ generate Z_n). We claim that there is a basis $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_l\}$ for C_1 such that the matrix for ∂_1 with respect to the new basis is

$$(5.2) \quad (\bar{x} - 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{l-1})$$

where \bar{x} is a generator (possibly distinct from x) of Z_n . This can be done by extending the generators $\{x^{h_1}, \dots, x^{h_l}\}$ to a finite presentation for Z_n :

$$(a_1, \dots, a_l : \{[a_i, a_j] \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq l\},$$

$$\{(a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_l^{\alpha_l})^{h_i} a_i^{-1} \mid i = 1, \dots, l\}, (a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots a_l^{\alpha_l})^n).$$

Apply the Nielsen transformations (as in [5, I] or [10, p. 140]) to this presentation to alter it to a pre-abelian form

$$(b_1, \dots, b_l : \{[b_i, b_j] \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq l\}, b_1^n w_1, b_2 w_2, \dots, b_l w_l, w_{l+1})$$

where each word w_i ($i = 1, \dots, l+1$) has total exponent zero with respect to each b_j ($j = 1, \dots, l$). The elementary Nielsen transformations used to transform $\{a_1, \dots, a_l\} \rightarrow \{b_1, \dots, b_l\}$ as generators of the free group of rank l give a prescription for changing the basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ of C_1 to a basis $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_l\}$ with the "right" matrix (5.2) for ∂_1 . Specifically, each Nielsen operation $a_i \rightarrow a_i a_j^\epsilon$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1, i \neq j$) corresponds to the elementary basis change

$$x_i \rightarrow \begin{cases} x_i + (x^{h_i})x_j & \text{if } \epsilon = 1, \\ x_i - x^{(h_i-h_j)}x_j & \text{if } \epsilon = -1, \end{cases}$$

in C_1 . Note that $\partial_1(x_i + \epsilon x^{h_i}x_j) = x^{h_i+\epsilon h_j} - 1$.

T is now represented by a chain complex of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & (\bar{x} - 1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & & & & & \parallel & \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow C_2(y_1, \dots, y_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & C_1(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_l) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & Z[Z_n] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & Z \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The arguments of [5, I] show that we may change the basis of C_2 (again denoted by $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$) so that the matrix of ∂_2 is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} N & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{I_{l-1}} & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $N = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{x}^i$. The chain complex above with these new bases is clearly realizable by a presentation [5, II] and hence by a 2-complex. \square

THEOREM 5.2. *Let π be a finitely presentable group. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $T = [(\pi, \pi_2, k)] \in \text{FCR}(\pi)$,
- (b) T is stably 2-realizable,
- (c) for each $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$, $\text{Wa}_2[X] = 0$,
- (d) there is an $X \in \text{FX}^3(T)$ such that $\text{Wa}_2[X] = 0$.

PROOF. (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) is (4.1); (c) \Rightarrow (d) is clear; (d) \Rightarrow (a) is given by (4.2). We will show that (a) \Rightarrow (b); i.e., $T \in \text{FCR}(\pi) \Rightarrow T \oplus F^n \in \text{FR}(\pi)$ for some non-negative integer n . We assume that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & (\bar{x}_1 - 1, \dots, \bar{x}_n - 1) \\ & & & & & \parallel & \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow C_2(y_1, \dots, y_m) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & C_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & Z[\pi] & \rightarrow & Z \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 0 \end{array}$$

has 2-type T . By [15, II, p. 136], we know that $\{\bar{x}_i \in \pi \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ generate π . Define a homomorphism φ from the free group F of rank n with generators $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ to π by $\varphi(a_i) = \bar{x}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). φ is surjective since $\{\bar{x}_i\}$ generate π . Denote $\ker \varphi$ by R . Since π is finitely presentable there exist words $\{r_1, \dots, r_s\}$ such that R is the smallest normal

subgroup containing $\{r_1, \dots, r_s\}$ (see [20, pp. 73–74]). Then an argument similar to that used in Theorem 4.1 shows that $T \oplus F^s$ is 2-realizable. \square

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let X be a connected CW-complex with fundamental group $\pi_1 X \cong Z_n$ such that X is dominated by a finite 2-complex. X has the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex $\iff Wa_2[X] = 0$.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) X has homotopy type of a finite 2-complex $\Rightarrow T(X) \in FR(Z_n) \xrightarrow{5.1(e)} Wa_2[X^{(3)}] = Wa_2[X] = 0$ since $X^{(3)} \in FX^3(T(X))$.

(\Leftarrow) X dominated by a finite 2-complex and $Wa_2[X] = 0 \Rightarrow X \cong$ finite 3-complex Y [15, I, Theorem F]. $T(X) \cong T(Y)$ and $Wa_2[X] = 0 \Rightarrow^{5.2} T(Y)$ is stably 2-realizable $\Rightarrow^{5.1} T(Y)$ finitely 2-realizable. Y dominated by a finite 2-complex $\Rightarrow H_3(\tilde{Y}) = 0 \Rightarrow^{1.1} Y \simeq$ finite 2-complex. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968. MR 40 #2736.
2. ———, *Modules which support nonsingular forms*, J. Algebra 13 (1969), 246–252. MR 39 #6875.
3. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. MR 17, 1040.
4. W. H. Cockcroft and R. G. Swan, *On the homotopy type of certain two-dimensional complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 11 (1969), 194–202. MR 23 #A3567.
5. M. N. Dyer and A. J. Sieradski, *Trees of homotopy types of two-dimensional CW complexes*, I, II, Comment. Math. Helv. 48 (1973), 31–44; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
6. P. Hall, *Finiteness conditions for soluble groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 4 (1954), 419–436. MR 17, 344.
7. H. Jacobinski, *Genera and decompositions of lattices over orders*, Acta Math. 121 (1968), 1–29. MR 40 #4294.
8. S. Mac Lane, *Cohomology theory in abstract groups. III, Operator homomorphisms of kernels*, Ann. of Math. (2) 50 (1949), 736–761. MR 11, 415.
9. S. Mac Lane and J. H. C. Whitehead, *On the 3-type of a complex*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 36 (1950), 41–48. MR 11, 450.
10. W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 13, Interscience, New York, 1966. MR 34 #7617.
11. I. Reiner, *A survey of integral representation theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 159–227. MR 40 #7302.
12. S. T. Hu, *Homotopy theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 8, Academic Press, New York, 1959. MR 21 #5186.
13. J. R. Stallings, *A finitely presented group whose 3-dimensional integral homology is not finitely generated*, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963), 541–543. MR 28 #2139.
14. R. G. Swan, *K-theory of finite groups and orders*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 149, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR 46 #7310.
15. C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for CW complexes. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) 81 (1965), 56–69; Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 295 (1966), 129–139. MR 30 #1515; 35 #2283.

16. J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy*. I, II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 213–245, 453–496. MR **11**, 48.
17. ———, *Simple homotopy types*, *Amer. J. Math.* **72** (1950), 1–57. MR **11**, 735.
18. M. N. Dyer, *On the second homotopy module for 2-complexes* (to appear).
19. ———, *The homotopy type of generalized lens spaces* (to appear).
20. A. G. Kuroš, *Theory of groups*, 2nd ed., GITTL, Moscow, 1953; English transl., vol. II, Chelsea, New York, 1956. MR **15**, 501; **18**, 188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON
97403